



---

# **Software Architecture:** ***The Dismal Science***

Eric M. Dashofy  
Principal Director, Development  
Enterprise Information Services  
The Aerospace Corporation

---

# What is Software Architecture?

- A software system's architecture is the **set of principal design decisions** about a system
  - ◆ Implications:
    - Every system has an architecture (but not all architectures are equally good)
    - Some decisions are more important than others
      - ◆ Have broader or deeper effects on the properties of the resultant system
    - Stakeholders decide which decisions are "architectural"
      - ◆ What is "architectural" for one system may not be for another

# Why so dismal?

- Good news: we can build more powerful software than ever!
- Bad news:
  - ◆ Trends in software engineering are eroding the abilities/opportunities to make and enforce principal design decisions
    - Many of the principal design decisions about your systems are being made by not-you
      - ◆ By people who don't know you. Or like you.
    - Abstraction layers are leaking and affecting software design
      - ◆ Abstraction is a key method for architects to maintain intellectual control

# A Rational Design Process

- Identify key stakeholders
- Agree on most important functional, non-functional requirements (-ilities)
- Choose an architectural style (set of high-level design rules) that will help you achieve those –ilities
- Identify a development and deployment platform
- Select (or develop, or enhance) architectural framework to bridge gap between style rules and platform
- Iterate through requirements refinement, design refinement, implementation, testing

# Challenging Trends

- Frameworkapalooza
  - ◆ Increasing reliance on frameworks, coarse-grained software components and services
- Domain-specific megaplatforms
  - ◆ Is this even software engineering?
- Agile methods
  - ◆ Encourage deferring commitment to the 'last responsible moment'
- Leaking abstractions
  - ◆ DevOps and Microservices and Accelerators, oh my!

# The Actual Design Process

- 10 Identify some stakeholders
- 20 Mock up UI in Balsamiq
- 30 Pick a hot framework that your developers will use
  - ◆ 35 So AngularJS
- 40 Pick 2-3 other hot frameworks that do the stuff your primary framework won't
  - ◆ 45 So Bootstrap and maybe jQuery
- 50 Put user stories in JIRA
- 60 Pick about 2 weeks worth of user stories off the front of the queue
- 70 SPRINT SPRINT SPRINT
- 80 GOTO 60

# Frameworkapalooza



# Middleware and Frameworks

- Software between your application and your underlying programming language/operating system to provide desirable services that are not provided by your PL/OS
  - ◆ Related: Platform, “Stack”
- Why middleware?
  - ◆ To make common but awkward or inelegant programming tasks easier
  - ◆ To provide selected desirable services
  - ◆ To (help) enforce architectural rules or constraints that elicit known benefits
  - ◆ Because some people really want to write one language in a different language



# Relationship between frameworks/ middleware and architecture

- Middleware/frameworks *induce an architectural style* (Di Nitto and Rosenblum)
  - ◆ Sometimes intentionally, sometimes accidentally
- Architecture frameworks (mostly from research community) start from styles and then implement the style decisions
  - ◆ Most frameworks start from services and style decisions are a side effect
  - ◆ ...but these are few and far between
- Point is: your framework designer makes a key set of principal design decisions for you without your help

# Key issues

- Framework selection occurs very early in development, often before you have a chance to really understand your system's functional & design requirements
  - ◆ Once you choose a framework, changing is prohibitively expensive
- Extrinsic factors (adoption, sustainability) strongly affect framework choice
- Framework mismatch with your intended architecture or top-level quality goals
- Attempt to integrate multiple conflicting frameworks
- Attempt to integrate components and services built for a different framework (or none at all)

# So what can you do?

**Bad Ideas**

**Kinda Depends**

**Good Ideas**



Fight your  
Framework

Write  
one  
language  
in  
another

Rewrite  
your  
Framework

Write  
your own  
Framework

Accept  
your  
Fate

Build a mini-  
framework  
on your  
frameworks

Choose  
Carefully

# Domain-Specific Megaplatforms



# Megaplatforms and Software Architecture

- Software built on megaplatforms
  - ◆ Has the same lifecycle needs as traditional software (requirements, design, implementation, testing, maintenance)
  - ◆ Is built around first-class domain objects
    - Example, for business apps: forms, tables, reports, workflows, external data integrations
  - ◆ Is often implemented by configuration and code
  - ◆ Can (sometimes) be done significantly faster than “on the metal” coding (even with frameworks)

# Key issues

- All the key issues you have with frameworks, but worse
  - ◆ Licensing, lock-in issues more prevalent
- Big steps backward in support for SDLC processes
  - ◆ Configuration management, deployment, testing, integrated development environments...
  - ◆ Developers in these environments often have no/little SE background
- Integration with software outside the megaplatform environment
- Cloud vs. on-premises tradeoffs
  - ◆ Security, performance, accessibility of internal network resources...

# So what can you do?

**Bad Ideas**

**Kinda Depends**

**Good Ideas**



Fight your  
Platform

Build  
your  
own  
megaplatfrom

Find third-party  
development  
support add-ons

Build your  
own development  
support add-ons

Choose  
Carefully

Establish  
“coding”  
conventions  
across apps

Adapt good  
SDLC practices  
to the platform

# Agile Methods





# Agile Development and Software Architecture

- Common threads in agile development
  - ◆ Dynamic backlog of features to implement
    - Short development cycles with demonstrable delivered value/functionality at end of each cycle
  - ◆ Deferred decision making “until last responsible moment” (point where cost of not making decision exceeds the cost of making it)
    - Local vs. global decision making
    - YAGNI principle
    - Designs as emergent rather than constructed
  - ◆ Continuous refactoring

# Key Issues

- Easy for top-level designs to get lost (or top-level decisions not made at all)
- Focus on local decision making can lead to architectures that are agglomerations instead of cohesive wholes
  - ◆ Possible missed opportunities for abstraction if you're not careful
  - ◆ High-level qualities/-ilities might get lost or difficult to imbue into the product
- Skimping on any part of agile tends to make other parts dangerous

# So what can you do?

**Bad Ideas**

**Kinda Depends**

**Good Ideas**



YAGNI  
without  
refactoring

Adapt agile  
processes to  
incorporate  
traditional  
design steps

Add up-front  
design to  
agile processes

Continuous  
refactoring to  
maintain conceptual  
integrity

End-to-end  
integration  
testing

# Leaking Abstractions



# Leaking Abstractions

- Physical architecture influence over logical architecture is increasing
  - ◆ Virtualization → DevOps → Containers → Microservices
    - DevOps technologies are influencing
  - ◆ Specialized hardware (e.g., GPUs, other accelerators) require tighter connection between software and hardware

# Key Issues

- Some –ilities can be addressed at new layers
  - ◆ E.g., reliability, performance through high-availability and load balancing at the container level
- Virtualization or containerization of legacy applications
  - ◆ Implications not always easy to understand
- Usual issues with emerging technology issues
  - ◆ These will likely settle out over time
- Conflict between virtualization and accelerator technologies

# So what can you do?

## Bad Ideas

## Kinda Depends

## Good Ideas



Ignore  
trends;  
hope they  
go away

Refactor  
Legacy apps  
to containers or  
microservices

Refactor  
legacy apps  
to virtualize

Get Dev and Ops  
People Together

Understand  
deployment  
technology  
early

# Takeaways

- Architecture remains important, but top-down architecture may diminish
  - ◆ Architecture “in the large” → “in the small”
  - ◆ Architecture prescriptions → emergent architecture
  - ◆ Maintain architectural quality through
    - Conceptual integrity
    - Continuous refactoring
    - Applying best practices even when the support is lacking



# Disclaimers

- The trademarks, service marks and trade names contained herein are the property of their respective owners.