

Programming Language Concepts 1982, 1987, 1997

Mehdi Jazayeri
Distributed Systems Group
Technische Universität Wien
mjazayeri@alum.mit.edu
<http://www.infosys.tuwien.ac.at>

Outline

- ◆ Computer science environment before each edition
- ◆ Languages covered in each edition and why
- ◆ Principles in each edition
- ◆ Structure of each edition
- ◆ Today's questions: C++, Java, C#, ...?
- ◆ Comments and conclusions

Period 1975 - 1980

- ◆ IBM mainframes
- ◆ PL/I
- ◆ Algol 60, Pascal
- ◆ PDP-10 and LISP
- ◆ MJ at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill
- ◆ CG came to visit in 1978-79

Environment before first edition (1980)

- ◆ Excitement about functional programming
- ◆ Excitement and apprehension about Ada
- ◆ C versus Pascal debates
- ◆ Unix and available computing (moving away from mainframes)
- ◆ Surprise: PROLOG

Environment before second edition (1985)

- ◆ Japanese Fifth Generation Project
 - Logic programming
 - PROLOG
- ◆ Acceptance of multiple paradigms
- ◆ Lowered expectation of formal methods
 - formal semantics
- ◆ Widespread unix
- ◆ Surprise: C++

Environment before third edition (1997)

- ◆ Dominance of object orientation
 - C++, Ada 95
- ◆ More functional programming
 - ML
- ◆ Excitement about Internet
 - Java, Java, Java, Java, Java, ...
 - PCs, WWW, language implementations
- ◆ Surprise: ?

Today's environment

- ◆ Domination of marketing
- ◆ Short term focus (time-to-market)
- ◆ ...

Choice of languages First Edition

- ◆ Pascal
 - beauty and simplicity
 - teaching value
- ◆ Algol 68
 - blind adherence to language design principles
- ◆ Simula 67
 - fundamental support for abstraction through the class concept

◆ But not Ada -- why not?

Glossary of selected languages First Edition

- | | |
|---------------------|-------------|
| ◆ Ada | ◆ FORTRAN |
| ◆ ALGOL 60 | ◆ Gypsy |
| ◆ ALGOL 68 | ◆ LISP |
| ◆ APL | ◆ Mesa |
| ◆ Bliss | ◆ Modula |
| ◆ C | ◆ Pascal |
| ◆ CLU | ◆ PL/I |
| ◆ Concurrent Pascal | ◆ PLZ |
| ◆ COBOL | ◆ SIMULA 67 |
| ◆ Euclid | ◆ SNOBOL4 |

Glossary of selected languages Second Edition

- | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|
| ◆ Ada | ◆ FORTRAN |
| ◆ ALGOL 60 | ◆ Gypsy |
| ◆ ALGOL 68 | ◆ LISP |
| ◆ APL | ◆ Mesa |
| ◆ BASIC (added) | ◆ Modula-2 (repl. Modula) |
| ◆ Bliss | ◆ Pascal |
| ◆ C | ◆ PL/I |
| ◆ CLU | ◆ PROLOG (repl. PLZ) |
| ◆ COBOL | ◆ SIMULA 67 |
| ◆ Concurrent Pascal | ◆ Smalltalk |
| ◆ Euclid | ◆ SNOBOL4 |

Glossary of selected languages Third Edition

- | | | | |
|------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|
| ◆ Ada | ◆ COBOL | ◆ Modula-2 | ◆ SIMULA 67 |
| ◆ ALGOL 60 | ◆ Concurrent Pascal | ◆ Modula-3 | ◆ Smalltalk |
| ◆ ALGOL 68 | ◆ Eiffel | ◆ Oberon-2 | ◆ SNOBOL4 |
| ◆ APL | ◆ Euclid | ◆ OPS5 | ◆ Tcl/Tk |
| ◆ BASIC | ◆ FORTRAN | ◆ Pascal | |
| ◆ C | ◆ Icon | ◆ Perl | |
| ◆ C++ | ◆ Java | ◆ PL/I | |
| ◆ CLIPS | ◆ LISP | ◆ PROLOG | |
| ◆ CLOS | ◆ Mesa | ◆ Python | |
| ◆ CLU | ◆ ML | ◆ Scheme | |
| | | ◆ SETL | |

◆ indicates addition

removed:
Bliss, Gypsy

Glossary of selected languages additions in Third Edition

- | | | | |
|---------|----------|------------|----------|
| ◆ C++ | ◆ Eiffel | ◆ Modula-3 | ◆ Tcl/Tk |
| ◆ CLIPS | ◆ Icon | ◆ Oberon-2 | |
| ◆ CLOS | ◆ Java | ◆ OPS5 | |
| | ◆ ML | ◆ Perl | |
| | | ◆ Python | |
| | | ◆ Scheme | |
| | | ◆ SETL | |

◆ indicates addition

removed:
Bliss, Gypsy

Third Edition

What added languages reflect

- C++
- CLIPS
- CLOS
- Eiffel
- Icon
- Java
- ML
- Modula-3
- Oberon-2
- OPS5
- Perl
- Python
- Scheme
- SETL
- Tcl/Tk

➤ indicates addition

removed:
Bliss, Gypsy

Third Edition

Classification of added languages

- ◆ Object Orientation
 - C++, Eiffel, Modula-3, Oberon-2
- ◆ Internet
 - Java, Perl, Python, Tcl/Tk
- ◆ GUI
 - Tcl/Tk, Java
- ◆ Paradigms
 - CLOS, ML, Scheme
 - CLIPS, OPS5
- ◆ Scripting
 - Perl, Python, Tcl/Tk
- ◆ Others
 - SETL
 - Icon

removed:
Bliss, Gypsy

Structure of first edition

- ◆ Based on software engineering goals imposed on languages
 - Data abstraction
 - Control abstraction
 - Program correctness
 - Programming in the large

Structure of second edition

- ◆ Based on software engineering goals imposed on languages but more emphasis on language mechanisms
 - Data types
 - Control structures
 - Programming in the large

Structure of third edition

- ◆ Based on *structure* and *structuring*
 - Structuring the data
 - Structuring the computation
 - Structuring the program

Java: a good fit

- ◆ An object-oriented network-centric programming language
- ◆ Provides
 - type-safety
 - concurrency
 - modularity
- ◆ Excellent example of applying language design principles
- ◆ Finally...an American language :)!

Java: not a *perfect* fit

- ◆ Big language
- ◆ Pure object orientation
- ◆ Inner classes
- ◆ Lack of genericity
- ◆ ...too much hype...

My wish for a Java feature: templates

- ◆ Generic (polymorphic) components allow the raising of level of abstraction
 - queue (T)
 - sort (queue (T))
- ◆ They lead to a cleaner language
- ◆ They lead to cleaner programs
 - avoid the casting to *Object*
e.g. hashtable(key, value)
 - uniformity of primitive and nonprimitive types

Lack of templates forces reliance on type casting

- ◆ A generally useful collection class such as Vector or Hashtable should be written to accept any kind of object: *integer*, *employee*, etc.
- ◆ In Java, they are therefore written to hold Object

Vector class

- ◆ Some methods of Vector:
`public void addElement(Object)`
`public Object firstElement()`
`public int capacity()`
`public int size()`
- ◆ What if we want to insert a *Point p* into vector *v*?

Need for casts with Vector

- ◆ To insert Point *p* in Vector *v*:
`v.addElement (p);`
- ◆ What about getting an element out?
`p = v.firstElement(); XXX No! XXX`
- ◆ We must use a cast:
`p = (Point) v.firstElement();`

More problems:

- ◆ What if the vector may contain objects of different types: Points, Pixels, Boxes...?
- ◆ Need runtime checks:
`Object o = v.firstElement();`
`if (o instanceof Point) {`
 `// code to process Point object`
`}`
`if (o instanceof Pixel) { ...`

Object wrappers

- ◆ What if we want to insert *int* objects into Vector? Problem: primitive types are not derived from Object!
- ◆ Wrappers make objects out of primitive types:
`v.addElement (new Integer(i));`
`Integer i = (Integer) v.firstElement();`
`int in = ((Integer) v.firstElement()).intValue();`
- ◆ C# does the conversions implicitly ...

Templates versus inheritance

- ◆ In C++, templates are used to write generic collection classes such as Vector and Hashtable
- ◆ Such generic collections can accept any type of object, including primitive types
- ◆ There is no need for casting or wrappers
- ◆ Required runtime checks in Java make the code ugly and inefficient

Principles 1st edition

- ◆ concepts -- to support sw engineering
- ◆ languages: Pascal, Simula 67, Algol 68
- ◆ Unix
- ◆ functional programming -- Backus FP
- ◆ Use of simple operational semantics
- ◆ list of languages

Principles 2nd edition

- ◆ paradigms -- more on functional but logic and rule-based also
- ◆ Fifth Generation
- ◆ formal semantics
- ◆ list of languages

Principles 3rd edition

- ◆ concepts -- structure
 - structuring the data
 - structuring the computation
 - structuring the program
- ◆ languages: C++, ML, Java, Ada 95
- ◆ paradigms: OO
- ◆ list of languages

New possibilities Third edition

- ◆ Web site
<http://www.infosys.tuwien.ac.at/pl-book>
- ◆ Simplesem interpreter in Java
 - first edition: concepts
 - second edition: more rigorous
 - third edition: supported by interpreter

Anticipating language developments

- ◆ Inside view
 - linguistic details such as control and data structure
 - drives some (research) languages
- ◆ Outside view
 - how is the language used?

External view

- ◆ External view determines development of languages
- ◆ Context of use
 - execution
 - development
- ◆ Successful languages take the external view into account

External view

- ◆ Execution context
 - user-interfaces
 - » multimedia devices
 - computational model
 - » Internet
 - » middleware
 - database integration
 - dealing with time

External view

- ◆ Development context
 - Visual interfaces
 - Visual languages
 - Programming by assembly (software components)

Concluding questions

- ◆ Do we know what language will emerge and dominate in the future?
- ◆ What are the factors that determine the “success” of a language, i.e. adoption by a large user community?
- ◆ Will there be one dominant language?
- ◆ Should a programming language concepts course be required in computer science?

Final word

- ◆ The study of programming languages is fun and exciting. The fun and excitement will continue...
 - Will Java kill C++, Smalltalk, and Eiffel?
 - Will C# kill Java?
 - Will there be a C* that will replace all else?
