Architecting Social: Supporting the Exploration of Socio-Technical Dependencies through an Architectural Lens John Georgas

ISR Institute for Software Research UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE





Context

- Software engineering
 - * Complex
 - # Large-scale
- * Inherently and deeply social
 - * Communication is key

3



Social and Technical: Side by Side

* Technical artifacts:

Requirements, design, source code, test cases, issues/bugs, frameworks

Social factors:

* Organizations, team structure, communication modes, location

If the social context is the environment within which the technical is produced, how does one affect the other?

4

Some Foundations

* Conway's Law ("mirroring"):

- * Designs of systems tend to match the organizational and communication structures of those who build them
- * Quality of the interfaces are dependent on communication
- * Socio-technical Congruence (STC)
 - * High congruence tied to higher productivity and improved quality

5

Challenges in Finding Answers

* Post-hoc analysis

* Calculation of congruence metrics and inferences about quality

6

* Emphasis on low-level artifacts

* Source code units becomes the primary view of the system

Too late for insights to be *actionable*

Less *useful* view early in development process



(Some) Use Cases

- * Awareness of developer activity through the lens of architectural and task assignment knowledge
 - * ...developer working on non-assigned components adding undocumented interface
- * Better understanding of design decision influences and their relation to requirements

8

* ...architectural additions to better fit team structure, possibly violating requirements

STML: Modeling Underpinnings

9

* Socio-Technical Modeling Language

- * Addressing diversity and lack of standardization
- * XML-based, strong typing, type-based extensibility
 - Influences from xADL and using elements of the xADL toolset
- * Promoting reusability and exchange

```
<agents type="AgentSet">
 <agent id="Bastien Nocera" type="Developer">
   <assignedTo id="bug_fix_rbqc" type="Task">
      <relatesTo href="#rbqc" type="simple"
       type="XMLLink"/>
   </assignedTo>
   . . .
 </agent>
 <agent id="Christophe Fergeau" type="Agent"/>
 <agent id="Paolo Borelli" type="Agent"/>
 . . .
</agents>
<commNetwork id="rhythmbox dev to dev"
 type="UndirectedGraph">
 <node id="bnocera" type="AgentNode">
   <agent href="#Bastien Nocera" type="simple"
     type="XMLLink"/>
 </node>
 <node id="cfergeau" type="AgentNode">
   <agent href="#Christophe Fergeau" type="simple"
      type="XMLLink"/>
 </node>
 <edge id="noc to fer" weight=".68" type="WeightedEdge">
   <endpoint href="#bnocera" type="simple"</pre>
      type="XMLLink"/>
   <endpoint href="#cfergeau" type="simple"</pre>
      type="XMLLink"/>
 </edge>
</commNetwork>
```

Interesting Questions

* Are socially-influenced designs supportive of requirements?

* Do they erode up-front design decisions over time?

* What kinds of architectural styles "fit" best with organizational arrangements?

* What about communication patterns?

* What is the right mode of intervention, and how do we know?

* What is the easiest change to effect, and how to find the balance between social and technical?

Open Call

Really interested your help!

- * Reports of socio-technical observations
- * Data-sets of past or ongoing projects
- Interest in using toolset

Final Thoughts

* Fascinating interplay between technical and social

- * Working toward providing development-time support for awareness
 - * Basis for investigating socio-technical interplay
- * This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant numbers CCF-1016134 and CCF-1017408

