## Will Decentralization Drive Event–Based Architectures?

Rohit Khare Founder & CTO, KnowNow Doctoral Student, UCI ISR Research Forum 18 June 2002

### **A Tale of Three Markets**

*How do multiple traders set prices?* 

- NYSE: one human, a 'specialist', aggregates all data
- NASDAQ: many 'dealers' quote their own prices
- **FOREX:** every trade is settled pairwise (unregulated)

#### *There is a spectrum here:*

- Centralized: single immediate arbiter in space-time
- Distributed: multiple arbiters with timeouts
- Decentralized: <u>no</u> arbiters at all

## Are There Any Decentralized Systems Yet?

- Current architectures for Internet-scale software assume consensus is feasible -- RPC, Dist. Objects, &c
- However, consensus is <u>not</u> feasible on an asynchronous network with even one failure
- The continuing evolution of decentralized computer architectures points in that direction
  - A continuum of embedded systems from 1 meter to 10<sup>9</sup> meters apart
  - I claim that we do not yet have effective software architectures for coordination <u>without</u> consensus

# **Events are Well-Suited to Decentralization**

I only have three clues to share from my investigation so far:

### Physics: one-way, best-effort reflects real limits

All the other sorts of Message–Oriented Middleware (MOM) are effectively end–to–end protocols, just as TCP is run on top of IP

### Protocols: concrete contracts across organizations

I The useful part of Web Services is its black-box abstraction of software as nodes on a network, allowing us to model interaction as application-layer messages

### Proxies: dynamic extensibility for many agencies

Separate event router proxies enable 1st parties <u>and</u> 3rd parties to add ilities such as security, reliability, and interoperability <u>without</u> modifying services.